3.SEP.2003 9:33

ROCHE BASEL VFA +41 61 6874399

NR . 658 5.2/6

Attorney Docket No.: 10094,200-US

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Sjøholm et al. Confirmation No: 8039

Serial No.: 09/779,323

Group Art Unit: 1652

Filed: February 8, 2001

Examiner: M. Monshipouri

For: Use of Acid Stable Protease in Animal Feed

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.132

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I, Anna-Maria Klümter, do hereby state and declare that

- I received a doctoral degree in Agricultural Sciences from the Agricultural Faculty of the Rheinische Friedrich-Withelms-University in Bonn, Germany. From 1988 to 1990 I had a post-doctoral position in Animal Nutrition Research of F. Hoffmann-La-Roche Ltd., Switzerland. Since 1990, I have been employed by Société Chimique Roche SA (since 2001 Roche Vitamines France S.A.S.), France. From 1990 - 1994, I was a Research Scientist, and from 1994 until the present, I have been the Group Leader for the research group Poultry Nutrition. During the last nine years, my responsibilities have involved developing different enzymes for animal feed.
- The following experiments were carried out under my direction and supervision. 2. In each treatment shown below, 240 chickens were sorted into twelve replicate groups of twenty chickens (six groups of males and six groups of females) and were fed for thirty-six days with the animal feed composition shown in table 1 below:

3.5EP.2003 9:33 ROCHE BASEL VFA +41 61 6874399

NR.650 5.3/6

Table 1: Feed composition of the experimental diet

Ingredients (%)	Days 1-22	Days 22-36	
Vaize	53.70	57.70	
	38.30	35,30	
SBM 44	4.10	3,60	
Soybean oil DL-Methionine	0.20	0.05	
	1.70	1.60	
DCP Limestone	0.80	0.50	
	0.20	0.15	
Salt Premix 1	1.00	1.00	
TIO ₂ 2		0.10	
Cejculated content Crude protein (%) ME _N (MJ/kg)	21.50 12.60	20.30 12.60 6.80	
Crude fat (%)	7.20 1.21	1.13	
Lysine (%)	0.53	0.37	
Methionine (%)	0.89	0.72	
Methionine + Cystine (%)	80.0		
Analyzed content		40.70	
Crude protein (%)	19.90	18.70	
ME _N (MJ/kg) 4	12.40	12.40	
Crude fat (%)	7.80	7,30	

1 Including Avatec as anticoccidial

²TiO₂ as indigestible marker was included in the feed for treatment in days 22-36

² Calculated with EC-equation

The mercallin and the me

Calculated with EC-equation based on analyzed nutrient content

The feed composition was treated with different amounts of a protease from Nocardiopsis NRRL 18262 having an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1, or with plain water as a negative control. The protease in solid form (product concentration 154 mg enzyme protein/g) was dissolved in 800 ml of water (450 kg feed per treatment for days 1-22) or 1200 ml of water (600 kg feed per treatment for days 22-36) and aprayed onto the feed pellets. The pellets for the negative control treatment (A) were sprayed with the same amounts of pure water.

In a control treatment (A) the chickens were fed the above-Identified feed composition without protease, and in three other treatments the chickens were fed the above-identified composition with the protease in different concentrations as follows (mg enzyme protein per kg feed): 6.25 mg/kg (B), 12.50 ma/kg (C), and 25.00 mg/kg (D).

The results of the experiments are shown in Tables 2 (Days 1-38), 3A (Days 1-22), and Table 3B (Days 22-36), wherein the results of both sexes have been pooled because no 3.SEP.2003 9:33 ROCHE BASEL VFA +41 61 6874399

NR.650 S.4/6

has been applied to the results to indicate the statistical significance, hence the mean values within a row not sharing a common superscript are significantly different (p < 0.05).

These results clearly demonstrate that broiler chickens have a significantly improved weight gain and significantly improved feed conversion when fed an animal feed composition comprising the Nocardiopsis protease. These results are surprising and unexpected.

Table 2: Performance of Broiler Chickens

	Control	Protease from	Nocardiopsis	NRRL 18262
Treatment	A	B	C	D
Dose (mg EP/kg feed)	0	6.25	12.5	25.0
Pens x birds	12 x 20	12 x 20	12 × 20	12 x 20
Weight gain (g/bird)	2013 ^B	2159 A	2137 ^	2189 ^A
Days 1-36	± 126	± 166	± 133	± 158
%	100.0	107.2	106.2	108.6
Feed intake (g/bird)	3403 ^A	3435 A	3453 ^A	3403 A
Days 1-36	± 209	± 208	± 170	± 203
%	100.0	100.9	101.5	100,0
Feed conversion				
(g feed/g gain)	1. 89 1 ^A	1,594 ⁸	1.617 ⁸	1.556 ^C
Days 1-36	± 0.036	± 0.050	± 0.036	± 0.041
%	100.0	94.3	95.6	92.0
Mortality (%)	3.3	2.9	2.9	4.2

3. SEP. 2003 9:33 ROCHE BASEL VFA +41 61 6874399

NR.650 5.5/6

Table 3A: Performance of Broller Chickens

	Control	Protesse from	Nocardiopsis	NRRL 18262
Trestment	A	В	С	D
Dose (mg EP/kg feed)	0	6.25	12.5	25.0
Pens x birds	12 x 20	12 x 20	12 x 20	12 x 20
Weight gain (g/bird)	837 ^B	884 ^	883 ^A	908 ^
Days 1-22	± 61	± 65	± 47	± 67
%	100.0	106.9	105.8	108.5
Feed intake (g/bird)	1202 ^	1206 ^A	1205 ^	1193 ^
Days 1-22	± 73	± 77	± 62	± 71
%	100.0	100.3	100.3	99.3
Feed conversion			_	_
(g feed/g gain)	1.438 ^A	1.349 ^{BC}	1,367 ⁸	1.316 ^C
Days 1-22	± 0.052	± 0.019	± 0.056	± 0.034
%	100.0	93.8	95.1	91.5

Table 3B: Performance of Broiler Chickens

	Control	Protease from	n Nocardiopals	NRRL 18262
Treatment	Α	В	C	Ð
Dose (mg EP/kg feed)	0	6.25	12.5	25.0
Pens x birds	12 x 20	12 x 20	12 x 20	12 x 20
Weight gain (g/bird)	1176 ^B	1264 ^A	1255 ^	1282 ^
Days 22-36	± 72	± 106	± 94	± 97
%	100.0	107.5	106.7	109,0
Feed intake (g/bird)	2204 ^	2232 A	2249 [^]	2214 ^A
Days 22-36	± 143	± 138	± 116	± 142
%	100.0	101.2	102.0	100.4
Feed conversion				
(g feed/g gain)	1.875^	1.789 ⁶⁰	1.796 ⁸	1.730 ^C
Days 22-36	± 0.065	± 0.079	± 0,066	± 0.065
×	100.0	94.3	95.8	92.3

4. The undersigned declarant declares further that all statements made herein of her own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section

3.SEP.2003 9:34 ROCHE BASEL VFA +41 61 6874399

NR.650 \$.6/6

1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize any patent issuing thereon.

Signed this 2ndday of September 2003

Anna-Haria Weiter